

MUS Performance Funding FY 2016 and FY 2017

History, Goals, Description, & Results

Presentation to the Education & Local Gov't Interim Committee January 14, 2016



PF History

- 1. From 1972 through 2014, enrollment was utilized as the single metric for determining the allocation of state appropriations to campuses.
- 2. In FY 2015, the MUS instituted a performance funding model.
 - 5% state appropriations allocated (\$7.5M)
 - Performance based on the combination of improvement in Retention and Completions
- 3. For FY 2016 and FY 2017, the MUS developed and implemented a more detailed and diverse performance model.
 - ➢ 8% of state appropriations allocated (FY16 = \$15M and FY17 = \$15M)



PF Goals

- Utilize performance funding as a strategy to help increase the percentage of the population with a higher education credential. *Increase degree production*
- 2) Incentivize campuses to improve student success and attainment of outcomes. *Focus on output as well as input.*
- 3) Connect finances with outcomes. *Pay for what we value.*



PF Model

1. The potential allocation for each campus is based on its share of the system's 3-year resident student FTE average

Campus	Resident FTE (FY13 to FY15 avg.)	Campus Share of Resident FTE	Eligible \$\$ Amount
MSU Bozeman	8,516	30.6%	\$4,589,817
Gallatin College	302	1.1%	\$162,919
MSU Billings	2,792	10.0%	\$1,504,855
City College	806	2.9%	\$434,508
MSU Northern	992	3.6%	\$534,754
Great Falls College	1,314	4.7%	\$708,103
UM Missoula	7,561	27.2%	\$4,074,776
Missoula College	1,518	5.5%	\$818,151
MT Tech	1,612	5.8%	\$868,789
Highlands College	367	1.3%	\$197,969
UM Western	1,077	3.9%	\$580,576
Helena College	974	3.5%	\$524,783
Total	27,832	100.0%	\$15,000,000



PF Model

2. Each sector (flagship, 4-yr and 2-yr) has a unique set of metrics

<u>METRICS</u>	Flagships MSU & UM	4-year Regional UMW, MT Tech, MSUB, MSUN	2-year Colleges Missoula, Great Falls, Highlands, Helena, City, Gallatin
Undergrad Degrees & Certificates	Х	Х	Х
Retention Rates	х	Х	Х
Dual Enrollment			Х
Graduate Degrees & Certificates	Х		
Research Expenditures	Х		
2-year College Menu			Х
Success in Remedial Education Credit Accumulation			



3. For each primary metric, under-represented/at-risk groups are measured as part of the whole as well as individually.

Under-represented/At-Risk Populations

- 1) American Indians
- 2) Pell Recipients
- 3) Veterans
- 4) Non-traditional Students (25+ yrs.)

4. Bonus points awarded for improvements in under-represented /at-risk groups.



5. Metrics are measured annually and compared to prior 3-year average. CAMPUSES compete against themselves!

MSU Bozeman	3-yr Average	Current Level	% Increase
Undergraduate Degrees Awarded	1,844	2,223	21%
Under-Represented/At-risk Graduates	1,481	1,911	29%
Retention	73%	75%	2%
Under-Represented/At-risk Students	67%	69%	3%
Graduate Degrees	585	564	-4%
Under-Represented/At-risk Graduates	15	6	-60%
Research Expenditures	\$108,667,152	\$109,612,283	1%



6. Metric values are <u>weighted</u>.

METRICS	Flagships	4-year Regional	2-year Colleges			
Undergrad Degrees & Certificates	30%	50%	30%			
Retention Rates	30%	50%	30%			
Early College Enrollment			15%			
Graduate Degrees & Certificates	20%					
Research Expenditures	20%					
2 - year College Menu						
Success in Remedial Ed.			12.5%			
Credit Accumulation			12.5%			
Weights for Underrepresented Group Metrics						
Undergrad Degrees & Certificates	37.5%	50.0%	50%			
Retention Rates	37.5%	50.0%	50%			
Graduate Degrees Awarded	25.0%					



7. Metric values are <u>indexed</u> to a standard scale of 1,000 points and growth target established.

Improvement is based on the composite results of all metrics, rather than independently on individual metrics. Score <u>above 1,000</u> represents improvement; score <u>below 1,000</u> represents regression. Growth Target = 1010 (1%)

MSU Bozeman	3-yr Average	Current Level	% Increase	Index Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Undergraduate Degrees Awarded	1,844	2,223	21%	1206	30%	362
Under-Represented/At-risk Graduates	1,481	1,911	29%	1291	37.5%	27
Retention	73%	75%	2%	1018	30%	305
Under-Represented/At-risk Students	67%	69%	3%	1030	37.5%	3
Graduate Degrees	585	564	-4%	965	20%	193
Under-Represented/At-risk Graduates	15	6	-60%	400	25%	-38
Research Expenditures	\$108,667,152	\$109,612,283	1%	1009	20%	202

sub-total, Primary Metrics1062sub-total, Under-Represented Bonus (.25 multiplier)0TOTAL1,062



- 8. A transitional-loss zone is created to account for random, non-systematic fluctuations in the metrics
 - Campuses that fall below the target, but within the transitional-loss zone receive a portion of the eligible funding amount (Partial PF Allocation)

- 9. Earn-back opportunity in year-two (if a campus falls short of its target in the first year of the biennium)
 - Year-<u>one</u> residual → earn-back in year-two
 - Year-<u>two</u> residual → Board-approved financial aid reserve



PF Results

FY 2016 Performance Funding Allocation Summary

Campus	Resident FTE (FY13 to FY15 avg.)	Campus Share of Resident FTE	Eligible \$\$ Amount	PF Metrics Index Score	FY16 Allocation	% of Eligible \$\$ Amt. Received
MSU Bozeman	8,516	30.6%	\$4,589,817	1,062	\$4,589,817	100%
Gallatin College	302	1.1%	\$162,919	1,444	\$162,919	100%
MSU Billings	2,792	10.0%	\$1,504,855	<mark>978</mark>	\$744,149	49%
City College	806	2.9%	\$434,508	1,037	\$434,508	100%
MSU Northern	992	3.6%	\$534,754	1,042	\$534,754	100%
Great Falls College	<u>e</u> 1,314	4.7%	\$708,103	1,138	\$708,103	100%
UM Missoula	7,561	27.2%	\$4,074,776	1,025	\$4,074,776	100%
Missoula College	1,518	5.5%	\$818,151	1,106	\$818,151	100%
MT Tech	1,612	5.8%	\$868,789	1,070	\$868,789	100%
Highlands College	367	1.3%	\$197,969	1,144	\$197,969	100%
UM Western	1,077	3.9%	\$580,576	1,100	\$580,576	100%
Helena College	974	3.5%	\$524,783	1,011	\$524,783	100%
Total	27,832	100.0%	\$15,000,000		\$14,239,294	

FY 2016 Detail by Campus

FY 2015 PF Allocations (results from last biennium)





PERFORMANCE-BASED FUNDING FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

