

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS
OF HIGHER EDUCATION
MONTANA UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

DATE: September 12-13, 1985

LOCATION: Conference Room
Montana University System
33 South Last Chance Gulch
Helena, Montana

REGENTS PRESENT: Morrison, Hurwitz, McCarthy, Paoli, Redlin, Lind,
Scully

REGENTS ABSENT: None

PRESIDENTS PRESENT: Bucklew, Tietz, Merwin, Dayton
VP Rankin attending for President Carpenter
Chief Fiscal Officer Leavitt attending for
President Treadway

PRESIDENTS ABSENT: Carpenter, Treadway

Minutes of Thursday, September 12, 1985

The Board of Regents met for a luncheon/work session from 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. in the Presidents Room at the Park Plaza Hotel. Ronald B. Kaiser, Municipal Underwriter Boettcher & Company, Denver, Colorado presented a bond financing discussion on University System bonding. Also present were presidents of the System, chief fiscal officers, and invited guests. Topics discussed were revenue vs. general obligation bonds, credit evaluation of bonds, bond insurance, refunding bonds, refunding plus new money bond issues, review process, and proposed federal tax legislation.

September 12-13, 1985

Budget Committee

Chairman Morrison called the Budget Committee to order at 1:40 p.m. Jack Noble, Deputy Commissioner for Management and Fiscal Affairs, reviewed his memo of September 10, 1985 which summarized anticipated bond activity for the System for September, October, and November, 1985 (on file). Mr. Noble noted the System will be participating in a high volume of bonding this fall. Mr. Noble referenced proposed federal tax legislation discussed during the Boettcher & Company presentation. If enacted, the proposed legislation would not affect any indentures issued prior to December 31, 1985, but would adversely affect any refunding issues after that date. For that and other reasons it is the recommendation of bond counsel and underwriters that the System conclude its issuances prior to December 31, 1985.

Mr. Noble reviewed the Board of Regents' equipment issue, Eastern Montana College's advance refunding of 1984 Series, the University of Montana advance refunding, and Montana State University's advance refunding. Anticipated bonding planned in the next three months totals \$67,415,000. Bond counsel, unit presidents, and Mr. Noble responded to Budget Committee members' questions on the report.

Mr. Noble next distributed copies of a memorandum from Boettcher & Company dated September 11, 1985 on the final bond sizing of the Board of Regents' equipment issue (on file). The memorandum outlined the funds available for debt service, allocation of the equipment fund, and allocation of the reserve fund.

Mr. Noble also distributed copies of a draft of the Final Bond Resolution for \$9,090,000 Board of Regents Special Purpose Revenue Bonds (various institutions pooled equipment financings) Series 1985. Approval of the resolution will be requested in a conference call meeting after the

September 12-13, 1985

interest rate is determined. The draft presented today is complete except for the interest rate, and is supplied for informational purposes only at this time.

The Committee discussed the equipment issue at length. Revenue streams on the participating campuses were identified. The committee was assured Regents' policy on use of student computer fees would continue to be strictly adhered to, and that students would realize increased benefits from the revenues realized from the issue. Both Eastern Montana College and the University of Montana need to replace their mainframes. Vice President Rankin, EMC, noted that when this option was presented to the campus computing committee in July the motion to move ahead was made by a student. Approximately 60 percent of mainframe use at EMC is dedicated to instruction.

Mr. Noble briefly reviewed the history of the System's discussions with the 1985 Legislature on computer needs. Attempts to obtain general fund dollars for the acquisitions were unsuccessful. The System was left with no alternative but to pledge future revenues and part of the operating budgets to meet increasing computer needs. The flexibility of some of the campuses' budgets will be reduced and that is a concern, but to do nothing was unacceptable.

President Tietz spoke to MSU's somewhat differing needs than those of the other campuses participating in the issue. All the funds realized by MSU may not be used to purchase computers, and this was explained to the 1985 legislature and stipulated in all the preliminary documents.

Mr. Noble responded to Regents' questions concerning provisions made in the event revenue streams for the indenture decline, and discussed the individual responsibility of each campus participating in the issue provided by the loan agreements.

To summarize, Mr. Noble stated that the equipment acquisition indenture is the first bond issue of its kind

September 12-13, 1985

entered into by the Board of Regents. It provides the opportunity for all the campuses to participate under the Regents' umbrella in the future as revenues become available and equipment needs arise. The smaller campuses particularly will benefit by being able to get into future bond markets more readily and at less cost than if they had to do so separately.

Progress Report on Computer Acquisitions

Paul Dunham, Director, Research and Services, reviewed his memorandum to the Board dated September 5, 1985 (on file) on the process for major computing equipment acquisitions at University System units. The memorandum dealt with the process for acquisition of new mainframe computing facilities for the University of Montana and Eastern Montana College only, and set out the rationale for specifying a single brand as opposed to utilizing competitive bidding.

Mr. Dunham reviewed the recommendation that the DEC VAX 8600 computer system be purchased for both campuses, and elaborated on the justification for the sole brand acquisition as set out on page 2 of the memorandum.

The Budget Committee discussed the recommendation, and received a report from each of the presidents on the types of mainframes in place at the other units. The critical research component needed by MSU in mainframe acquisition was discussed. President Tietz stated that MSU is sensitive to the needs of others in the System, and while he did not believe their internal needs should be constrained, MSU would comply with the System format. The importance of data shifting capability was discussed, as was the need of the smaller units to access exotic software available on a limited basis in the System. It was agreed that software compatibility is far more complicated than hardware compatibility, and needs to be addressed separately. In response to a question of whether the Board would recommend all units purchase DEC mainframes, Chairman Morrison replied the Board was not in a position to

September 12-13, 1985

Report on Fee Waiver Policies

Deputy Commissioner Noble stated his memorandum dated August 5, 1985 (on file) was prepared in response to several inquiries from Board members and from the campuses in the last few weeks regarding fee waiver policies and fee waiver budgets. Mr. Noble noted there have been two comprehensive reviews of fee waivers since 1976, with the last one completed in 1981. Several recommendations of the task force were adopted in 1982, and there have been no substantial changes in fee waiver policies since that date. Recent questions and concerns have focused on how fee waivers have been treated in the budgeting process with the emphasis on the two major campuses. Mr. Noble reviewed the tables in the memorandum relating to tuition and fee collections and fee waivers from 1981-82 to 1986-87 and the accompanying comments.

The report was discussed at length, particularly the disparity in the amount appropriated to MSU for mandatory fee waivers and the amount received by the University of Montana. The several reasons which could account for this disparity set out in Mr. Noble's memorandum were reviewed. Mr. Noble's conclusion that the data would indicate it is time to approach the budgeting process regarding fee waivers using a zero base methodology was disputed by President Bucklew. He stated his belief that the disparity between the two institutions was the result of an error in the calculations, and that should be investigated with the legislative fiscal analyst before any dramatic changes to current practice were considered. President Tietz stated the analyst's office has been asked to review the matter, and he will keep the Commissioner's office informed.

The Budget Committee recessed at 3:20 p.m., and will reconvene on Friday, September 13 to complete the matters on the committee agenda.

September 12-13, 1985

make that decision. The Board does wish the matter of compatability among the units to be a prime consideration. In future discussions with the legislature it is essential that it is clear the System is addressing the compatability issue.

The Committee reviewed Item 49-702-R0985, Bond Resolution; Eastern Montana College. The resolution authorizes issuance and sale of \$7,700,000 of facilities refunding revenue bonds. Mr. Noble explained this is a preliminary authorization. A summary of projected savings realized through this type of refunding will be brought to the Board at a future date, and a final bond resolution.

Item 49-004-R0985, Waiver of Indirect Cost Recovery Rates for Coal Board Projects at Montana State University, University of Montana, and Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology (REVISED) was reviewed by the Budget Committee. It was explained two grant applications were submitted to the Coal Board and approved on August 16, 1985. The Coal Board is required by statute to consider local effort in its grant-making activities, and also has a policy prohibiting payment of indirect costs. This creates a conflict with Regents' Policy 404 which requires units of the System to include an indirect cost factor on state grants or contracts. Both grants are considered exceedingly important to the state and to the System, and a waiver of Regents' policy concerning indirect cost recovery is requested.

Mr. Dunham explained this was discussed in the Council of Presidents. It was the concensus of that body that it is in the best interest of the System to request a waiver and accept the grants. Whether Regents' policy should be changed to provide a waiver provision on indirect cost recovery could be taken up at a later date.

Chairman Morrison stated that without objection both of the above items would be recommended to the full Board for approval.

September 12-13, 1985

Representatives of Digital Equipment Corporation presented a discussion on Digital and the education market, with emphasis on computer networking, to the Board of Regents, presidents of the units, and other interested persons. The presentation concluded at 4:30 p.m.

The Board of Regents recessed at 4:30 p.m. to reconvene at 10:00 a.m. on Friday, September 13, 1985.

Minutes of Friday, September 13, 1985

The Board of Regents and the Board of Public Education met as the State Board of Education from 8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. in the Governor's Conference Room in the State Capitol.

The Board of Regents met in executive session in the University System office from 10:40 a.m. to 12:40 p.m.

Chairman Morrison called the Board of Regents meeting to order at 1:10 p.m. Roll call was taken and it was determined a quorum was present.

Chairman Morrison asked for additions or corrections to the minutes of the previous meetings. The minutes of the July 26, 1985 meeting were amended as follows:

On page 11, in the last sentence of the first paragraph, delete "1985" and insert "1984".

On page 17, following Item 48-200-R0785, insert the following:

Item 48-201-R0785, Retirement of Richard B. Roeder; Montana State University, and

Item 48-202-R0785, Retirement of John H. Rumley; Montana State University.

With those corrections, the minutes of the July 26, 1985 meeting were ordered approved.

Hearing no objections, the minutes of the September 4, 1985 Conference Call Meeting were ordered approved as mailed.

September 12-13, 1985

Budget Committee (continued)

Item 49-005-R0985, Authorizing Agreement Relating to the Destruction or Cremation of Bonds and Coupons between First Bank - Bozeman, First National Bank - St. Paul; First Bank - Butte, First Trust Company of Billings; First Bank - Bozeman, Chase Manhattan; First Bank - Bozeman, First National Montana Bank - Missoula; the Montana Board of Regents of Higher Education, and the Montana State Treasurer, an addition to the agenda, was reviewed. It was explained this item is similar to one passed at the July meeting which streamlined the function of handling bond coupons in the Treasurer's Office for just one of the four Montana trustee banks. The item before the Board approves similar arrangements with the remaining three trustee banks. On motion of Regent Hurwitz, the item was approved.

President Neil Bucklew, UM, distributed copies of the University of Montana Stadium Briefing (on file). He elaborated on the outline contained in the handout which covered the history of the project development, University of Montana Foundation fund raising and bonding, and University of Montana bonding, all related to the stadium project.

President Bucklew explained the in-kind gifts which constitute a major part of the stadium fund raising effort. He spoke particularly to the in-kind contribution of the Washington Corporation of Missoula who in addition to offering to serve as general contractor for the project, will assume any cost overruns that might occur and will accept full responsibility for coordination of the project's in-kind gifts. The value of the contribution on the part of the Washington Corporation to the University of Montana in building the stadium is \$1,000,000 in in-kind and actual contributions. With that pledge and commitment, two-thirds of the amount the Foundation needed to raise has been realized. To move forward, the University of Montana Foundation needs to do several things. It must conclude the fund raising effort, and will be entering

September 12-13, 1985

a broad scale solicitation throughout Montana and the rest of the United States. In addition, it is the decision of the Foundation to issue tax exempt bonds in the amount of \$2.1 million to ensure the handling of cash flow responsibilities over the term of construction. President Bucklew stated these decisions and previous authorizations lead to the two items before the Board for action today.

Item 49-102-R0985, Issuance of University of Montana Foundation Bonds; University of Montana requests Regents' approval of the issuance of bonds by the University of Montana Foundation in an amount not to exceed \$2,100,000 to partially fund the construction of the stadium. This approval is required by law, and indicates that the Board of Regents, as the state agency that will ultimately own the stadium on behalf of the state of Montana, acknowledges that what is being done is appropriate and necessary to meet the needs of the higher education system. President Bucklew read the portion of the item which stated that notwithstanding the approval of the issuance of the bonds, the bonds shall not constitute a debt, liability or obligation of the Regents. He also called the Regents' attention to the portion of the item which approves leasing the land on which the stadium is to be constructed to the Foundation during the term of construction. This will enable the Foundation to enter into a contract with the general contractor. President Bucklew reported the University of Montana Foundation Board has appointed an oversight committee to act as the owner in relations with the general contractor during the construction period. Vice President Michael Easton, UM, serves as chair, and the membership includes three members of the Foundation Board, and Regent Dennis Lind.

Item 49-101-R0985, Bond Resolution; University of Montana, requests Regent authorization to proceed with the issue given preliminary authorization at the July meeting of the Board. Approximately \$13,600,000 in revenue bonds will be

September 12-13, 1985

issued. Part of the proceeds will be used to defease the 1984 issue, and the remaining amount will be available for new projects as set out on the item. Bond counsel William Johnstone and a representative of Dane Bosworth, investment banker, were present to answer questions. President Bucklew briefly reviewed the ticket tax for athletic programs which is the only new revenue source of the issue.

Chairman Morrison noted the Budget Committee met in Missoula the week prior to the Board meeting and extensively reviewed the matters represented in the two items above. The Committee's questions were answered at that time. Regent Paoli stated for the record that no student building fees would be used in financing the stadium, noting that stipulation was also included in SJR 14. Hearing no further discussion, Chairman Morrison called the question on the two items before the Board.

On motion of Regent Hurwitz, Item 49-102-R0985 was approved.

On motion of Regent McCarthy, Item 49-101-R0985 was approved.

Regent Scully stated for the record that in his review of Senate Bill 385 authorizing construction of the stadium he noted a large portion of the bill pertains to legislative authorization for lease of property belonging to the University of Montana. The bill has no sunset provision. He stated it was his understanding that lease of such property is the prerogative of the Regents and does not require legislative authorization. Caution should be used in future legislation to prevent such occurrences.

Chairman Morrison stated at this time motions would be entertained on the two Budget Committee items discussed in yesterday's meeting. On motion of Regent Hurwitz, the following items were approved:

September 12-13, 1985

Item 49-702-R0985, Bond Resolution; Eastern Montana College.

Item 49-004-R0985, Waiver of Indirect Cost Recovery Rates for Coal Board Projects at Montana State University, University of Montana, and Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology
(REVISED)

Budget Committee Submission Agenda

Deputy Commissioner Jack Noble reviewed Item 49-002-R0985, Student Participation in Mandatory Fee Decisions; Montana University System. Mr. Noble explained student concerns that students have lacked opportunity to participate in preliminary discussion of certain fee increases resulted in the policy being placed before the Board.

Under "Procedures", Section 1, Mr. Noble explained this follows past practice and students would continue to be notified of proposed increases at the same time Regents and presidents of the System are notified.

Section 2 to some extent would limit the Board's ability to act on the fees covered by the policy except at stated times during the year. There is no concurrence by staff or the Council of Presidents that it is wise to restrict the Board's ability to act at any time it is deemed necessary and in the best interest of the System.

Section 3 relating to submission of surveys or votes by student governments to "assist the Board in its deliberations on mandatory fee changes or initiation of new fees", while permissive, does provide a dimension of in-input that is not necessarily agreed upon by all parties to be needed in Board policy, or provide the most effective student in-input on fee decisions.

Section 3 was discussed at length. Chairman Morrison stated his belief that nothing prevents students from submitting such polls and surveys now and it is not appropriate to include that provision in Board policy. Regent Redlin

September 12-13, 1985

stated her understanding that the effort of the students seems to be to make sure the Board would recognize input from students, but the statement before the Board puts the emphasis on students' actions rather than what the Board would like to see. She believed that should be turned around. Regent Paoli agreed the wording recognizes long-standing practice and students have the opportunity to provide results of surveys and polls, but they feel it is essential that right be recognized in writing and want it included in Board policy. He also noted the length of time this matter has been under discussion with the Board, and the time spent by the students and the Council of Presidents in attempting to reach agreement. The policy should be acted on without further delay.

After considerable discussion, Regent Scully suggested that Section 3 be amended to strike the reference in the final sentence relating to submission of results of surveys or votes, and insert . . . "student governments may submit any information they deem pertinent to the establishment of the decisions." Student government leaders will meet again with the Council of Presidents in October for further discussion. The item will be placed on the action agenda at the October meeting of the Board.

Item 49-003-R0985, Constitution and Operation of Associated Student Organizations; Montana University System, was reviewed by Mr. Noble. He stated the intent of the policy is to address concerns brought out in legislative audits and in discussions with the state Insurance Division relating to the operation of student government associations on campuses, and the related liability. The liability issue was the preliminary focus of the audits. Attempts to obtain liability insurance for student organizations were unsuccessful. In discussions with the Insurance Division and the Legislative Auditor it was concluded this is a problem the System may not ever be able to clarify to everyone's satisfaction, but perhaps it is possible

September 12-13, 1985

to lessen the likelihood of the state directly or indirectly becoming liable. That is the intent of the policy.

Sections 1-5 of the policy were reviewed and discussed. Student government objections to various sections were presented. The lengthy meetings between student government officers and the Council of Presidents and those attempts to resolve the various issues were referenced. Still at issue is the approval of student constitutions by unit presidents, signing of disclaimers of liability, and the number of representatives to the units' media boards that the presidents may appoint. Discussion was also held on whether the Regents' policy on indemnification should be amended.

At the conclusion of the discussion, Chairman Morrison stated the item is on the submission agenda and will remain on the submission agenda until agreement is reached. Student government leaders were instructed to continue working with the Council of Presidents. When agreement is reached with that body, the Board will act.

Capital Construction Committee

William Lannan, Director of Special Projects, reviewed the memorandum to the Capital Construction Committee from the Commissioner dated September 13, 1985 (on file) which requested increasing the project authorization approved in Item 45-105-R0984 at the University of Montana, Yellow Bay Biological Station; Dining/Housing Facilities. The base bid exceeds the authorization by about \$27,010. The University and the architect for the project recommend the award of the bid as outlined on the recap form submitted with the agenda material. The additional funds for the project will come from revenues generated by auxiliary services. On motion of Regent Hurwitz, the additional authorization was approved.

Item 48-208-R085, Authorization to improve Air Conditioning System at Swingle Health Service and to engage an Engineer for the Project; Montana State University was reviewed

September 12-13, 1985

by Mr. Lannan. The estimated cost of the project is \$50,000. Funding and justification of the project are set out on the item. On motion of Regent Hurwitz, the item was approved.

Item 49-701-R0985, Purchase of Real Property; Eastern Montana College was reviewed and discussed. The property is within the area approved in 1972 in EMC's master plan for land acquisition. The purchase price is \$151,000. Funds will be provided from the rental of this and other properties of the college, and from the Series 1984 Revenue Bond Indenture. On motion of Regent Hurwitz, the item was approved with Regent Scully voting no.

Collective Bargaining Committee

Regent Lind, Chairman of the Collective Bargaining Committee, stated the following collective bargaining agreements have been reviewed by the Regents in executive session and are recommended for approval:

1. Tentative Agreement with International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers
2. Tentative Agreement with International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
3. Tentative Agreement with Montana District Council of Laborers.

On motion of Regent Scully, the agreements were ratified and approved.

By-Laws and Policy Committee

Item 49-001-R0985, Civic Duty Leave; Montana University System, was received for consideration at a future meeting. No action items were on the Policy Committee agenda.

Curriculum Committee

There were no items for consideration by the Curriculum Committee.

New Business

September 12-13, 1985

Residency Appeal (Addition to the Agenda)

Chairman Morrison determined it was the consensus of the Board that the appeal be heard, and Mr. Haney was present to speak on his own behalf.

Dr. Weinberg, Assistant Chief Counsel, reviewed the facts in the residency appeal of Steven Haney as set out in the memorandum to the Board from the Commissioner dated September 6, 1985 (on file). In summary, the first action taken by Mr. Haney that meets the requirements of Regents' policy to qualify for in-state status for fee purposes was his registration to vote on October 1, 1984. Consequently, Mr. Haney is not eligible for in-state status even though he is within a few days of meeting the 12-month period. Dr. Weinberg noted students frequently miss the 12-month requirement by just a few days, and in accordance with existing policy the 12-month requirement has not been waived for that reason.

Mr. Haney stated he now realized that part-time employment did not satisfy the requirements of the policy, and agreed it was his error not to file a letter of intent or register to vote at an earlier date. He moved to Montana in August of 1984 after obtaining part-time employment, and considers Montana his home. He also noted he could not carry 19 credit hours and work full-time. He asked for a waiver in this instance so he could be granted in-state status for the fall quarter and continue his education.

The matter was discussed at some length. Chairman Morrison explained that a great deal of effort has gone into clarifying and simplifying the residency policy so any student can easily obtain and understand the requirements to meet in-state status for fee purposes. Regent Redlin stated she would like to see a further review of the policy because she did not believe it was clear. Chairman Morrison stated his

September 12-13, 1985

belief that the Board has made every effort to make the policy fair to both the students and the people of the state of Montana. Anyone can find out what is necessary to establish residency with very little effort. It is also the Regents' responsibility to be concerned about people who are trying to take advantage of the System and the taxpayers who support it. He recommended the Commissioner's decision to deny this appeal be upheld. Regent Hurwitz so moved.

Chairman Morrison called for discussion on the motion to deny the appeal. Reasons why appeals of this nature reach the Board when the presidents have indicated willingness to work with students in situations such as Mr. Haney's were discussed. President Bucklew agreed the policy has been improved and clarified, but stated there should be campus review of appeals before they are submitted to the Commissioner's office and the Board. It was agreed if a president wishes to review a matter before it is forwarded to the Commissioner's office he can certainly do so, and special exceptions are possible, but such actions could jeopardize the residency policy. President Tietz supported a review of present policy. He believed there should be some workable variance to provide for situations where students fail to meet the requirements by what amounts to a matter of hours.

Regent Lind stated he believed this student could and did present a reasonable argument, but rather than pass on that, he suggested the Board either table the motion to deny or request Mr. Haney withdraw his appeal for a one-month time period to see what could be worked out on the campus.

After brief discussion, Regent Hurwitz withdrew his motion to deny the appeal.

Regent Lind moved the appeal of Steven Haney be tabled. Acting Commissioner Krause noted when Mr. Haney pays his fees he will be required to pay out-of-state tuition. If the Board decides in his favor he would receive a refund of the

September 12-13, 1985

difference between that fee and in-state tuition. A month from now he may withdraw the appeal if there are other possible solutions.

The question was called on the motion. The motion to table the appeal of Steve Haney carried.

Commissioner's Report

Dr. Krause reported the organizational meeting of the Presidential Search Committee at Montana Tech has been held. Advertisements will be placed carrying a closing date for applications of November 20, 1985.

The proposed calendar of future meeting dates of the Board of Regents was reviewed and approved. Commissioner's staff will send the adopted calendar to appropriate persons.

Dr. Krause noted information is being gathered to determine a site for the Regents' October workshop. He will inform the Regents and presidents when a suitable location has been arranged.

Council of Presidents

President Tietz distributed two handouts for the Board's information: The Summer 1985 "Montana Report" carried an article entitled "High tech at high altitudes - A merger that makes sense for Montana" which reported on the effort Montana State University has been making to establish a research and development park. The second handout titled "Student Profile for 1984 - Montana State University" presented facts on students enrolling at MSU. President Tietz noted that 83% of 1984 freshmen felt they were well prepared for college, and he believed the students' satisfaction with their secondary education was a significant issue.

Acting President Dayton, Montana Tech, reported on a meeting held on September 12 on the financial status of the SUB at Tech. The final decision on whether to accept the present set of bids must be made on September 18, 1985. He requested an opportunity to review the project with the Board to determine if Tech can proceed.

September 12-13, 1985

Chairman Morrison stated that without objection he would appoint the Budget Committee to act as approving agent for the Board to respond to that request. Jim Ness of Bozeman will be requested to serve as a consultant to resolve the bonding questions.

Eletha Suttey, President, ASMT, spoke to the students' interest in seeing the student union building project funded at Montana Tech. Chairman Morrison noted the Board would also like to see the project proceed, but must determine that it is financially sound and that reserve requirements will be met.

Brief discussion was held on start and break dates, particularly at the two universities. Chairman Morrison stated he had received questions as to why those are not the same at both units, and asked if that could be discussed before calendars are set in the future.

Regent Scully referenced discussion at the last Regents' workshop on transferability of credits and extension services provided or not provided. It was his understanding this was to be addressed by the Council of Presidents, and he was interested in receiving a report. Dr. Krause responded the task force on extended education hoped to have its report ready for discussion at the October 1985 workshop. The issue of transferability of credits within the System was discussed at some length, and will be added to the agenda at the Regents' October workshop if further discussion is desired by the Regents.

The Board of Public Education, Faculty Association, and Montana Associated Students had no report.

Dr. William Anderson extended the appreciation of the Office of Public Instruction to former Commissioner of Higher Education Irving Dayton for his cooperation and efforts on behalf of education while he was Commissioner, and added the Office of Public Instruction looks forward to a continuation of that cooperation in working with Dr. Carrol Krause.

September 12-13, 1985

Regular Agenda

On motion of Regent Paoli, the following items were approved:

Item 49-100-R0985,	<u>Staff; University of Montana</u>
Item 49-110-R0985,	<u>Degrees; 1984-1985; University of Montana</u>
Item 49-200-R0985,	<u>Staff; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-201-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Georgeanne R. Caughlan; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-202-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Dr. Kathryn Jordan; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-203-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Harvey A. Larson; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-204-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Herbert Albert Saner; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-205-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Joan G. Stovall; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-206-R0985,	<u>Post-Retirement Contract (Paul F. Jesswein); Montana State University</u>
Item 49-207-R0985,	<u>Post-Retirement Contract (Del Samson); Montana State University</u>
Item 49-210-R0985,	<u>Degrees, 1984-1985; Montana State University</u>
Item 49-300-R0985,	<u>Staff; Agricultural Experiment Station</u>
Item 49-301-R0985,	<u>Retirement of Maurice W. Hull; Agricultural Experiment Station</u>
Item 49-302-R0985,	<u>Post Retirement Contract (Donald R. Graham); Agricultural Experiment Station</u>
Item 49-400-R0985,	<u>Staff; Cooperative Extension Service</u>
Item 49-500-R0985,	<u>Staff; Montana College of Mineral Science and Technology</u>
	with addendum attached to and made a part of these minutes
Item 49-500A-R0985,	<u>Staff; Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology</u>
Item 49-600-R0985,	<u>Staff; Western Montana College</u> amended to delete Robert A. Person under "Replacements"
Item 49-700-R0985,	<u>Staff; Eastern Montana College</u>
Item 49-900-R0985,	<u>Staff; Office of Commissioner of Higher Education</u>

The meeting adjourned at 3:10 p.m. The next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Regents will be held on October 24-25, 1985, in Bozeman, Montana.