Phone Conference—Implementation Team
Meeting 7-14-2011

Participants: Anne Clark, Anneliese Ripley, Barry Good, Bob Hietala, Daniel Bingham, Gary Young, Jim Cargill, Joe Schaffer, John Cech, John Garic, Sue Jones, Tom Gibson, Victoria Clark, Darren Pitcher, Lynn Stocking, Brad Eldridge, Bernie Phelps, Jeannie Origbo, and Mick Robinson

Proposal for a Common Approach to Dual Enrollment Tuition

- Common fee structure recap for dual enrollment students by John Cech
- Phase I submit planned for BOR meeting in Fall
- Mick Robinson’s comments
  - 50% fee structure makes more sense than a specific dollar amount
  - BOR should like the new fee structure
- Tom Gibson’s comments
  - New fee structure for tuition mirrors handful of practices used currently by some of the campuses
- General Comments/Questions
  - Barry Good—Is the model for fee structure for COTs suppose to work for Mountain campus too?
    - 2 year college rate would be used
    - Focus is on 2 year colleges with 2 year rates
    - Driven by admission status 50% should be applied even to 4 year school
  - Tom Gibson—Will any campus be objecting to this proposal at the BOR meeting?
    - No discussion has been really had with 4 year schools, but email was sent to the heads to keep them informed of the proposal
    - Majority agree this is a good step in the right direction for a more common tuition structure
  - Joe Schaffer—Will a new field in Banner be created/included to reflect the new tuition structure?
    - There needs to be a new table
    - New tuition rate/matrix needed to apply to dual enrollment
  - Daniel Bingham—Concern over the phrasing of “space availability” language and its effect on other non-dual enrolled students
    - Language was used to make sure campuses aren’t forced to open additional sections
    - 50% applied on all dual credit/enrollment situations (possibly)
  - Daniel Bingham—Feels language may potentially limit amount of high school students able to take advantage of this opportunity...worried about “stacking” situations
    - Suggested word change?
• Rolf suggested each campus will be able to deal with this situation without a single fix-it for all campuses

• Needs a larger discussion on high school students attending college classes vs. courses created for only high school students
  • Code early start college students to limit their ability to register
  o Daniel Bingham—Concern early start students are being treated the same as dual credit/enrollment students...also doesn’t address home school issue
    • 50% opens up other discussion on implementation of the new fee structure
  o Victoria Clark—What about the mandatory fee waiver?
    • Bond indentures, some exceptions, BOR has ability to waive these
    • Eliminates a hurdle for dual enrollment/credit student
  o Tom Gibson—Northern and Western will be the two most impacted campuses by the mandatory fee waiver because of their bond covenants
  o Great Falls would implement this proposal across the board to all dual enrolled students
  o Darren Pitcher—Will there be any restrictions/limitations unique to community colleges?
    • Unaware at this time of any
    • Phase II will allow discussion for community colleges and their boards
  o Daniel Bingham—Dual Enrolled students do use facilities covered under the mandatory fee waivers
    • Small % of student population dual enrolled=no significant cost in revenue

• Reminders
  o Terry O’Banion Presentation on August 15
  o Department of Labor Summit on August 16
  o Big Sky Pathways Retreat August 17 with Gene Benson